

Amendment

In the Claims:

Please enter newly amended claims 9-11. A complete set of claims, including these amended claims, and a marked-up version of the amended claims accompanies this response.

9. (Amended) The continuous molding apparatus according to claim 2, wherein the indexing plate is positioned perpendicularly, between the front and rear ram faces, to multiple parallel molding units.

10. (Amended) The continuous molding apparatus according to claim 2, wherein the indexing plate is positioned perpendicularly, between the front and rear ram faces, to multiple parallel molding units spaced 30 inches apart on center.

11. (Amended) The continuous molding apparatus according to claim 9, wherein the indexing plate is 5 inches wide, 120 inches long, 3/8 inches thick and holds 12 bricks or pavers when full.

Response

Claim Rejections – 35 USC Section 112

The Examiner has rejected claims 9-11 as being indefinite, citing insufficient antecedent basis for the term “the indexing brick or paver receiver plate” in these claims. Claims 9-11 have been amended to recite “the indexing plate” of claim 2. Claim 11 was rejected as indefinite, citing the use of the term “may hold” in the claim. This term has been deleted from claim 11 and replaced with “holds,” as suggested by the Examiner.